IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND
AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 1186 (SS) of 2007

1. Sayyed Afzal Hussain, S/o Sri Sayed Muzzafar Hussain, R/o 316, Shekpuri, Roorkee, District – Haridwar.
2. Intzar Ahamd, S/o Jameel Ahmad, R/o 279 /11, Tehsil - Rampur, Roorkee, District – Haridwar.
3. Vinod Kumar S/o Sri Braham Dutt, R/o Village - Hazzarpur, Tehsil – Roorkee, District – Haridwar.

…….Petitioners.

Versus

1. State of Uttarakhand through Secretary, Revenue, Civil Secretariat, Dehradun.
2. Commissioner, Garhwal Region, Pauri.
3. District Magistrate, Haridwar, District – Haridwar.
4. Additional District Magistrate, Haridwar, District - Haridwar.
5. Joint Magistrate, Roorkee, District – Haridwar.
6. Tehsildar, Roorkee, District – Haridwar.

……..Respondents.

Hon'ble M.M. Ghildiyal, J

Heard Sri A. V. Pundir, learned counsel for the petitioners and Sri H.M. Raturi, learned standing counsel for State of Uttarakhand on behalf of respondents.

By means of this writ petition, petitioners have prayed for the following relief:

a. *Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to formulate the working scheme for the further appointment of petitioners on the post of Seasonal Sangrah Amins.*

b. *Issue any other relief which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.*

c. *Cost of the petition be awarded in favour of the petitioners.*

During the course of argument, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that petitioners may be permitted to make representation, raising all their grievances, before respondent no. 1 and respondent no. 1 may be directed to take decision on the representation of the petitioners within a particular period.

Considering the circumstances of the case, I direct the petitioners to make representation, raising all their grievances before respondent no. 1 within a period of two weeks from today and respondent no. 1 is directed to take decision on the representation of the petitioners within a period of four weeks from the date of production of certified copy of this order along with representation, if any, moved by the petitioners.

With this direction, writ petition as well as interim relief application is finally disposed of. No order as to costs.

(M.M. Ghildiyal, J.)

August 29, 2007
SKS